

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,020	08/08/2000	Jun-Woo Lee	51876.P194	6633
75	90 08/12/2003			
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman 12400 Wilshire Blvd 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TU X	
Los Angeles, C.	A 90023-1020			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	ک
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Application No.							
Examiner Tu X Nguyen 2862 286		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Tux Nguyen 2682 Period for Reply A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified alone, the maximum statutory period was large with the statistic professor and period with the period for reply specified alone, the maximum statutory period was large with with 135(g). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled sets St(s) (s) MONTHS from the maximum statutory period was large with with 135(g). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled sets St(s) (s) MONTHS from the maximing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified alone, the maximum statutory period was largely within the adultary minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified alone, the maximum statutory period was largely within the adultary minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified and the period of the communication. If the period for reply specified alone, the maximum statutory period was largely within the adultary minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified alone will be the period of the communication. If the period for reply specified the statutor is set of the communication. Status Status Status Status Status Application is FINAL. 20) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 8) Claims(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 10) The drawning(s) filed on 1-32 is/are allowed. 11) T		09/622,020	LEE ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estansizos of time may be available used the proseines of 37 CFR 1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Estansizos of time may be available used the projections of 37 CFR 1.13(q). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Estansizos of time may be available used the time prosess of 37 CFR 1.73(q). Estansizos of time properties above a less the timely (30) days, a reply within the stalutery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Estansizos of time or epity inspected sore, the manimum station period will negative will be communication. For the period for reply appelled above a less the timely date of the communication is become abbrevious. Provided the properties of the second period and the period of the communication. For the period for reply appelled to the second period of the communication. For the period for reply application is incondition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unlowance except for formal matters, pro	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercisions of time many be available under the provisions of 37° CFR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercisions of time many be available under the provisions of 37° CFR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercisions of time many be available under the provisions of 37° CFR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercisions of time many be available under the provisions of 37° CFR 1.735(a). The provision of Claims - Any reply received by the Official on the three horse horse and sent the realizing date of this communication, event if invely filled, may reduce any sent provision of Claims - Any reply received by the Official on is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims - A) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. - A) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. - A) Claim(s) 1.22 Is/are pending in the application. - A) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - Claim(s) is/are allowed. - Claim(s) is/are allowed. - Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. - Application Papers - Application Papers - Application Papers - Application proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. - The proposed drawing correction filled on is/are: all accepted or by the Examiner. - If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. - The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. - Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 - A) All by Some * C) Mone of: - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		1	[
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of tem empty be suitable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (b) MODITIST from the mailing date of this communication. Failure of tempty is specified used the file provision of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory minimum of thinty (b) days with the considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, parked with graph and will expire \$(0) (b) MODITIST from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuto, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Along reply reviewed by the Office biets than blies months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any statutory and the provision of the communication. Failure parked the set of the set of this communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Cialms 4) Claim(s) 1-2Z is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 10) The proposed drawing are required in equired in requirement. Application Papers Application Papers Application proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are allowed. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13) All by Some * cy None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been receiv		ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some col he priority documents have been received in Application No 3	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11.J</u>	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
4a) Of the above clairn(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request (hat any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Matschment(s)* All □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application						
6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Obtice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a\[] accepted or b\[] objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a\[] approved b\[] disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b\[] Some * c\[] None of: 1.	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	,— ,	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 12 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 13 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · _	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 13 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) O	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
Attachment(s) O	$_$ a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		, 200, 200, 200, 33, 120					
	2) Delice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/11/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., page 10, 3rd paragraph, "Applicants' claimed invention discloses methods and apparatus for expanding cell coverage by advancing a transmission signal and selectively delaying a received signal in a code division multiple access CDMA system") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1-20 and 22-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scott (US Patent 6,388,997).

As to claims 1, 6, 10, 15, 18-19, 24, Scott discloses a method for expanding cell coverage in mobile communication system comprising the steps of:

- a) shifting a preamble access window by advancing a transmission signal by a first delay time in order to acquire a first call access signal from a mobile station at a remote distance (see col.4 line 34 through col.5 line 30). The examiner interprets "advance or retard timing" corresponds to "shifting"; and
- b) delaying a second call access signal from a mobile station at a short distance by a second delay time in order to acquire the second call access signal (see col.38 lines 11-50).

As to claim 2, Scott discloses step a) includes the step of expanding a length of the preamble access window to a maximum value by adjusting a length of chips included in the transmission signal (see col.23 lines 1-37).

As to claims 3, 7, 16 and 22, Scott discloses step b) includes the steps of:

Art Unit: 2684

Determining whether the second call access signal from the mobile station is acquired by the preamble access window (see col.38 lines 11-30); and

Accessing the second call access signal to the preamble access window by delaying the second call access signal if the second call access signal is not acquired (see col.38 lines 30-50).

As to claims 4, 8, Scott discloses the first delay time is substantially equal to the second delay time (see col.38 lines 11-50). The examiner interprets "propagation round trip delay" from user station to base station taking into account the delay time; therefore, if the distance from mobile stations to base station is substantially equal, the access delay time from the first mobile stations to base station and the access delay time from second mobile station is also substantially equal.

As to claims 5, 9, 14, Scott discloses the second call access signal is delayed by a feedback delay (see col.9 lines 25-40). The examiner interprets "guard time" corresponds to "feedback delay".

As to claims 11, 17, Scott discloses everything as to claim 1 above. More specifically, Scott discloses "Pseudo noise signal" (see col.25 lines 1-5).

As to claim 12, Scott discloses everything as to claim 10 above. More specifically, Scott discloses "demodulating the delayed received signal and restoring the call access signal" (see col.17 lines 51-64 and col.18 lines 37-51).

As to claim 20, Scott discloses "feedback delay" and "length of the preamble access window" renders obvious all limitations over claim rejections 2 and 5.

Art Unit: 2684

As to claim 25, Scott discloses the second call access signal is substantially delayed for 20us by the feedback delay (see col.8 lines 11-20).

As to claims 26-27, Scott discloses everything as to claim 1 above. More specifically, Scott discloses "expanding a length of a current preamble access window to a maximum value (see col.23 lines 1-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott and further in view of Jiang et al. (US Patent 6,212,405).

As to claim 21, Scott fails to disclose the cell radius is expanded 45KM or more.

Jiang et al. dislose the cell radius is expanded more than 45KM (see col.6 lines 32-51). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Scott with the above teaching of Jiang et al. in order to provide an extended cell size coverage for a base station that will cause signals transmitted by mobile station within their respective cells to be received within the confines of search windows associated with round trip delay timing protocols.

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (703) 308-7749.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

August 1, 2003

NAY MAUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6